THIS DECISION HAS BEEN APPEALED. THE FOLLOWING IS THE RELATED SOAH DECISION NUMBER:

SOAH DOCKET NO. 453-03-2985.M2

January 20, 2003

Re: Medical Dispute Resolution
MDR #: M2-03-0312-01
IRO Certificate No.: IRO 5055

Dear:

In accordance with the requirement for TWCC to randomly assign cases to IROs, TWCC assigned your case to ___ for an independent review. ___ has performed an independent review of the medical records to determine medical necessity. In performing this review, ___ reviewed relevant medical records, any documents provided by the parties referenced below, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the dispute.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating health care provider. Your case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Orthopedic/Spine Medicine.

I am the Secretary and General Counsel of ___ and I certify that the reviewing physician in this case has certified to our organization that there are no known conflicts of interest that exist between him and any of the treating physicians or other health care providers or any of the physicians or other health care providers who reviewed this case for determination prior to referral to the Independent Review Organization.

We are simultaneously forwarding copies of this report to the payor and the Texas Workers' Compensation Commission. This decision by is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.

Clinical History:
This female claimant slipped and fell at work on ___, contusing her left buttock and causing low back pain. She complains of persistent low back pain and left buttock pain.

The official reports of an MRI on 02/07/01 and bone scan 01/26/01 were not provided. However, the records provided indicated the results of the MRI are that of an L3-4 left lateral disc bulge and facet degeneration. The results of the bone scan revealed L-3 focal uptake.

Disputed Services:
Lumbar discogram.

Decision:
The reviewer agrees with the determination of the insurance carrier. The reviewer is of the opinion that the procedure in question is not medically necessary in this case.

Rationale for Decision:
Given the clear indications of the MRI and the bone scan, the reviewer sees no clear reasoning or thinking as to how discography would assist in determining the nature and origin of this claimant's pain generator.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of this decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within ten (10) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within twenty (20) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This Decision is deemed received by you **five (5) days** after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5 (d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 40669
Austin, TX 78704-0012

A copy of this decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute.

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on January 20, 2003.

Sincerely,